

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/343,684	06/30/1999	ALICJA BORYSOWICZ	1029/182	8228
7590 03/12/2003 MORRIS LISS POLLOCK VANDE SANDE &			EXAM	INER
AMERNICK RLLP			VINCENT, SEAN E	
P O BOX 19088 WASHINGTON, DC 200363425			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		mx-18				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
- 1 . 1 . 1	09/343,684	BORYSOWICZ ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sean F Vincent	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCF) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN ( avoid abandonment of the (1) a timely filed amendment (with appeal fee); or (	is application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in 3) a timely filed Request for Continued				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY of 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perifee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	The date on which the petition used of extension and the correspending of the shortened statutory period of extension and the correspending of the shortened statutory period st	under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension conding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension iod for reply originally set in the final Office action; or after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in a Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in a Notice of Appeal was filed on						
The second empedment (s) will not be entered because:						
2. The proposed amendment(s) with not 25 and (see NOTE below);  (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below),  (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or		to a of finally rejected claims				
issues for appeal; and/or  (d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:	· i · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were now,						
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
	<u></u> .	The Eveniner				
a The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved of b)						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:		1 / 1				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Sean E Vincent Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's remarks do not address the disclosure of Haissig et al. The claims are still obvious over Aoki in view of Haissig et al and Victor et al. The broad limitation "generating a plurality of output signals for respective actuators that will control melting in the furnace" reads on Haissig et al's multiple actuator output controls, if not the suggested multiple control of Aoki.